Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference SCAPA.AFF.11	FOR FURTHER ACTION	ACTION SeeNotificationofTransmittalofInternational Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No. PCT/FR00/02424	International filing date (day 01 September 2000 (• .	Priority date (day/month/year) 02 September 1999 (02.09.99)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n C09J 7/04, D04H 1/48, 1/60	<u>`</u>		02 depletition 1999 (02.09.09)		
Applicant	SCAPA FRAN	CE			
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. This REPORT consists of a total of					
VII Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report			
30 March 2001 (30.03.01)		29 No	vember 2001 (29.11.2001)		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		Authorized officer			
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.			

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/FR00/02424

I. Bas	sis of the report	
I. Wi	ith regard to the elen	nents of the international application:*
		l application as originally filed
\geq	the description:	
	-	
	pages	, filed with the demand
		, filed with the letter of
\boxtimes	the claims:	
	pages	1-14 , as originally filed
		, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19
	pages	, filed with the demand
		, filed with the letter of
	the drawings:	
	no.co	, as originally filed
	pages	, filed with the demand
		, filed with the letter of
	7	g part of the description:
-		, as originally filed
		, as originally filed , , filed with the demand
	pages	, filed with the letter of
	the language of a the language of p	which is: a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/
	contained in the in filed together with furnished subseque furnished subseque The statement the international applies The statement that been furnished.	nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international n was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: international application in written form. the international application in computer readable form. uently to this Authority in written form. uently to this Authority in computer readable form. that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the lication as filed has been furnished. nat the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has
4. []	the descripe	have resulted in the cancellation of: ption, pages
	beyond the disclosi	then established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go sure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**
and ?	70.17).	ch have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to inally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16
* Any i	eplacement sheet co	ontaining such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/FR 00/02424

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

i	1.	Statement	
		Novelty (N)	

Claims Claims

Claims

Claims

1-14

Inventive step (IS) Claims

1-14

Industrial applicability (IA) Claims

1-14

YES

YES

NO

YES

NO

NO

2. Citations and explanations

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-5 631 073 (CRAN LAUREN K ET AL) 20 May 1997 (1997-05-20)

D2: DE 298 19 014 U (CERTOPLAST VORWERK & SOHN GMBH)

18 February 1999 (1999-02-18)

D3: DE 195 23 494 A (BEIERSDORF AG) 2 January 1997 (1997-01-02)

D4: EP-A-0 668 336 (COROPLAST FRITZ MUELLER KG) 23

August 1995 (1995-08-23) cited in the application

PCT Article 33(2):

Claim 1:

Claim 1 describes an adhesive tape comprising:

- (a) a fibrous non-woven support in the form of a felt or needle punched product
- (b) and an adhesive film coating a surface of the support characterised in that: it is coiled in direct contact between the adhesive and an adjacent support turn,

that said support is between 0.3 and 1 mm deep and has a fibrous surface mass between 70 and 120 g/m^2 and that the fibres are embedded in the adhesive over part of the support at a depth comprised between 10 μ m and 0.5 mm.

Therefore, characterising the adhesive tape by the type of winding described in Claim 1, which is entirely familiar to a person skilled in the art, cannot constitute a distinguishing feature over the prior art, even though this feature is not explicitly mentioned in the documents of the prior art. Moreover, the impregnation of the fibres with adhesive is determined too broadly (lines 9-11 of said claim) to constitute a distinguishing feature over the prior art in which, moreover, this parameter is not mentioned.

Document D1 describes the same combination of technical features as that described in Claim 1 (column 4; lines 5-13, column 6; lines 30-34, lines 37-40, lines 56-62 and column 9; lines 22-27).

The same comment applies to documents D2 (page 1; lines 3-7, page 2; lines 20-22, page 5, lines 5-9 and Claim 5), D3 (column 1; lines 56-61 and column 2; lines 17-19) and D4 (page 2; lines 1-3, lines 15-17, lines 19-22 and page 3; lines 4-5) (application of a hot-melt adhesive).

The subject matter of **Claim 1** does not comply with the requirements of PCT Article 33(2).

Claim 12:

Claim 12 describes a method for producing an adhesive tape in accordance with one of Claims 1-11, in which the

PCT/FR 00/02424

adhesive is applied to the support in the liquid state of aggregation and is then turned to the solid state of aggregation by a suitable process.

Document D1 explicitly describes the same combination of technical features as that described in Claim 12 (column 4; lines 5-13, column 6; lines 30-34, lines 37-40, lines 56-62 and column 9; lines 22-27).

This comment also applies to **D4**, which by implication describes the same combination of technical features as that described in **Claim 12** (page 2; lines 1-3, lines 15-17, lines 19-22 and lines 47-49 and page 3; lines 4-5).

The subject matter of Claim 12 does not comply with the requirements of PCT Article 33(2).

Claim 14:

Claim 14 describes the use of an adhesive tape as per one of Claims 1-11 for strapping bundles of cables.

Document D2 describes the same combination of technical features as that described in Claim 14 (page 1; lines 3-7, page 2; lines 12-28 and lines 20-22, page 5, lines 5-9 and Claim 5).

The same comment applies to documents D3 (column 1; lines 3-6, and lines 56-61 and column 2; lines 17-19) and D4 (page 2; lines 1-3, 15-17, 19-22 and 25-29 and page 3; lines 4-5).

The subject matter of Claim 14 does not comply with the requirements of PCT Article 33(2).

PCT/FR 00/02424

The same comment applies to the subject matter of dependent Claims 2-11 and 13 which constitute conventional embodiments known from the prior art.

PCT Article 33(3):

The subject matter of Claims 1-14 does not comply with the requirements of PCT Article 33(3).

PCT Article 33(4):

The subject matter of all the claims is industrially applicable.

PCT/FR 00/02424

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The claims are not supported by the examples, in the sense that neither the depth of the fibrous support nor the depth at which the fibres are anchored in the adhesive are mentioned in the examples (lines 9-11 of Claim 1).

In **Claim 4**, the adhesive tape appears to be characterised by the technical goal to be achieved, i.e. a transverse tear effort under 15 N.

In Claim 5, the expression "at least essentially" makes the subject matter of said claim obscure.

In Claim 7, characterisation of the fibres by the fact that they are "more easily fusible than polyester and/or viscose fibres" does not make it possible to determine precisely what fibres are concerned, making the subject matter of said claim obscure.